



REVIEWER'S ARTICLE EVALUATION FORM

The purpose of this evaluation form is to provide a structured framework for reviewers to critically evaluate various aspects of the manuscript and offer constructive feedback to author/s and editor/s, ensuring the integrity and quality of the research article being considered for publication. Additionally, the form helps maintain consistency in the review process and assists editor/s in making informed decisions about whether to accept, reject, or request revisions for the manuscript.

Article ID						
Title of the paper						
Please review the manuscript on the basis of the following statements:						
Instruction: Copy this tick mark and place it in the most appropriate box: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
S / N	Description	Insufficient	Weak	Good	Very good	Excellent
1	Topic: The title of the article is relevant to the area of interest of UJIS.					
2	Abstract: The abstract included all essential components, namely background, purpose, methods, and findings.					
3	Keywords: The keywords are appropriately chosen.					
4	Introduction: The introduction section is clearly articulated.					
5	Literature review (LR): LR is sufficient, updated, comprehensive, and relevant					
6	Methodology: Methodology section mentioned the research approach, design, sampling, data collection, and analysis.					
7	Result and discussion: Results and discussions are presented and analyzed effectively.					
8	Conclusion: The conclusion drawn is supported by the findings.					

9	References: References are formatted according to APA style, 7 th edition guidelines.					
10	Overall structure: The overall structure of the article demonstrated coherence and organization.					
11	Tables and figures: Tables and figures are appropriately utilized and enhanced the understanding of the content.					
12	Author knowledge: The author demonstrated knowledge and expertise in the subject matter.					
13	Writing style: The writing style is clear, concise, and appropriate for the intended audience.					
14	Length of article: The length of the article is suitable for the depth of content covered.					
15	Originality: The article demonstrated originality in its approach or findings.					
16	Contribution: The article makes a meaningful contribution to the field of study.					
17	Technical quality: Technical aspects of the article, such as methodology and analysis, are of high quality.					
18	Depth of research: The research conducted shows sufficient depth and thoroughness.					
RECOMMENDATION						
1	Suitable to be published		Comments:			
2	Suitable for publishing after correction					
3	Not suitable for publishing					
COMMENTS TO THE EDITOR/S						
COMMENTS TO THE AUTOR/S						

Thank you very much for your time, effort and contribution